





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/006,435	12/04/2001	Shih-Yuan Wang	0980/65847-A	2793	
75	90 03/28/2003				
Ivan S. Kavrukov Cooper & Dunham LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas			EXAMINER		
			HELLNER, MARK		
New York, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3663	3663	
			DATE MAILED: 03/28/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/006,435	WANG ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mark Hellner	3663			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. The ply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. msions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tily within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON!	imely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)□	•	is action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow		prosecution as to the ments is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-160</u> is/are pending in the application	nn .				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-160</u> is/are rejected.					
· · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
•	ion Papers					
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •				
* (3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pric application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S Datest and T	rademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 10/006,435

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-160 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The view of the Court of Customs and Patent Appeals on multiplicity were set forth in In re Chandler, 45 CCPA 911, 117 USPQ 361 (1958) and In re Chandler, 50 CCPA 1422, 138 USPQ 138 (1963) (Applicants latitude in stating their claims in regard to number and phraseology employed "should not be extended to sanction that degree of repetition and multiplicity which beclouds definition in a maze of confusion." 138 USPQ at 148.).

An unreasonable number of claims, that is, unreasonable in view of the nature and scope of applicant's invention and the state of the art, may afford a basis for a rejection on the ground of multiplicity. A rejection on this ground should include all the claims in the case inasmuch as it relates to confusion of the issue.

To avoid the possibility that an application which has been rejected on the ground of undue multiplicity of claims may be appealed to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences prior to an examination on the merits of at least some of the claims presented, the examiner should, at the time of making the rejection on the ground of multiplicity of claims, specify the number of claims which in his or her judgment is

Application/Control Number: 10/006,435

Art Unit: 3663

sufficient to properly define applicant's invention and require the applicant to select

certain claims, not to exceed the number specified, for examination on the merits. The

examiner should be reasonable in setting the number to afford the applicant some

latitude in claiming the invention.

In the present application, it is the examiners opinion that 50 claims are

reasonable to cover the scope of applicant's invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark Hellner

at telephone number 703 306 4155.

Mark Heliner

March 27, 2003

MARK HELLNER PRIMARY EXAMINER

3663 Mark Ideller

Page 3